PTO/SB/21 (04-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

05/24/2004

Under the Pa	perwork Reduction Act of 199		to respond to a collection	n of information unles	s it displ	ays a valid OMB control numb	
3/		Application	Number	0/644,353			
2 6 2004 HTR	RANSMITTAL	Filing Date		August 20, 200	3		
	FORM	Art Hait		Daniel R. Nett			
(to be seed for a	all correspondence after initial			761			
PADEN		Examiner N	ame	Γimothy F. Sin	none		
Total Number of I	Pages in This Submission	Attorney Do	cket Number	KH0693US (#	90636))	
		ENCLOSURES	(Check all that a	npply)			
Amendme Aft Aff Extension Express A	mittal Form e Attached ent/Reply er Final fidavits/declaration(s) of Time Request bandonment Request n Disclosure Statement	1 1 1	nvert to a oplication rney, Revocation rrespondence Addressailmer efund	to T App of A App (Ap) Pro Sta	echnologies Cor Appeals Deal Cor Peal Noti Prietary tus Lette er Enclo	osure(s) (please ow):	
Document Response Incomplete Re	to Missing Parts/ e Application esponse to Missing Parts der 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remarks	ANTATIONIE	V OD ACENT	•		
Firm	SIGNA	TURE OF APPLIC	ANI, AIIORNE	Y, OR AGENT			
or	Sean F. Mellino; I Sun F. Wellino Muy 24, 2004	D. Peter Hochberg	gCo., L.P.A.				
		EDTICIOATE OF	TDA NERRIESION	MAILING			
I hereby certify the sufficient postage the date shown be	at this correspondence is l as first class mail in an er	peing facsimile transmit evelope addressed to: C	ed to the USPTO or o	deposited with the	United 60, Alexa	States Postal Service wit andria, VA 22313-1450 o	
Typed or printed n	Sean Mellin	0		•			
Signature	C 11.				Date	05/24/2004	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Sinkellius

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class mail in an envelope addressed: Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia, 22313-1450, on the date noted below:

Date: Muy 24, 2004

Sean Mellino

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

: Daniel R. Nett

Serial No.

10/644,353 / Conf. No. 5852

Filing Date

August 20, 2003

Examiner

Timothy F. Simone

Group Art Unit

1761

Title

PIVOTABLE GRID FOR A BARBECUE

Attorney File

KH0693US (#90636)

Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Reply to Office Action Issued February 25, 2004

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office action dated February 25, 2004, please amend the above identified application without prejudice as follows:

In the Drawings:

Please replace the drawings as originally filed with the replacement formal drawings enclosed herewith. No new matter has been added.

REMARKS

The Office action dated February 25, 2004 is acknowledged. Claims 1-23 are pending in the instant application and have been rejected by the present Office action. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Rejection of Claims 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims 1-23 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,979,437 (Giebel). Specifically, the Examiner states that the features of the instantly rejected claims are structurally met by the reference to Giebel.

The applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's conclusion and submits that each and every feature of the present invention as set forth in the claims of the present application is not taught or described in Giebel.

The applicant submits that the present invention as set forth in the instant claims are directed to a barbecue grill comprising various components, such as a base cavity, top lid, pivots, lift arms, cooking and warming grids and a pair of pivot extensions (independent claims 1, 19, 20 and 23). The applicant further submits that the pair of pivot extensions, which are recited in each of independent claims 1, 19, 20 and 23, are an important feature of the present invention and are not taught or disclosed anywhere in the prior art, specifically in Giebel.

As set forth in the present application, "grill 10 includes a pair of pivot extensions 60a, b (Figs. 1, 4 and 5) ... which are triangular structures" (specification, paragraph 000029). The specification goes on to provide that the "pivot extensions 60a, b are provided so as to raise the pivot point of first pair of pivots 66a, b ... from a level that is

even with that of lower grid 40 to a level that is above the level of lower grid 40" (paragraph 000031). The same paragraph provides that "by way of pivot extensions 60a, b, first pair of pivots 66a, b are raised to a level higher than that of lower grid 40." In other words, the respective pivots, by way of the pivot extensions, would be higher than those disposed in a conventional manner, such as in the barbecue of Giebel.

The present specification goes on to provide that the employment of the pivot extensions in conjunction with the lift arms raises the level of the pivots such that the space between the lower grid and upper grid is increased, without increasing the overall height of the barbecue (paragraph 000031, page 9). This increased distance between the grids allows the grids to be constructed having surface areas that are about 18% greater than grids used in a comparably sized, conventional barbecue (paragraph 00036, page 12). In other words, a comparably sized barbecue employing the pivot system of Giebel would have a smaller space between the respective grids, while employing grids having a smaller surface area, than a barbecue employing the pivot system of the present invention, which includes the pivot extensions.

Because each and every feature of the present invention as recited in independent claims 1, 19, 20 and 23 is not taught or disclosed in the Giebel prior art reference, the applicant respectfully submits that Giebel does not anticipate the present invention as recited in the rejected claims. Giebel does not teach or suggest such claimed aspects of the present invention. It is therefore respectfully requested that this rejection be withdrawn.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the present application as amended is in condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned if there are any remaining issues to be discussed which could expedite the prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

D. Peter Hochberg Reg. No. 24,603

D. Peter Hochberg Co., L.P.A. 1940 E. 6th St. – 6th Floor Cleveland, OH 44114-2294

DPH/sm